





R2C REFLECTIONS MEETING AGENDA

- Introductions (10mins) RISA
- Slido: Key lessons learnt by the R2C lessons learnt (15) mins) MO
- 2) 3) 4) VV program key learnings and proposed way forward (30 mins) – SG
- Plenary discussion (15 mins)
- Next steps (10 mins)









R2C / TOT REFLECTIONS INSIGHTS: SLIDO

- 1) What has been the most impactful elements of the program for you?
- 2) From your experience, what did you feel was missing in the R2C program that would have made it more impactful?

Respond to the 2 questions.

Slido.com #1448927



LESSONS LEARNT AND RECOMMENDATIONS





LESSON - 1

 For a successful program the participants selected need to have met certain basic criteria

DETAIL

- Have a registered IP
- Negotiated with University on ownership of the IP (Implies the University has a startup policy)
- Registered a company to commercialize the innovation

- Done: We have updated the selection criteria to include these aspects
- In progress: We shall also communicate to institutions on why we have not selected participants from their institutions







LESSON - 2

 When working with researchers from institutions the institutional preparedness from an R2C perspective is critical

DETAIL

- Does a commercialization pathway exist (startup policy/TTO)?
- Is there institutional buy in ?
- What additional support is there for the innovator?

- In progress:

 Collaborating with KENIA
 on the institutional
 strengthening program
- Proposal: Capacity building for institutional leaders
- Proposal: Development of tools to support / help the institutional to accelerate their R2C journeys







LESSON - 3

 Raising funding for the innovators is not as straight forward

DETAIL

- With some of the challenges identified the funding discussions are not moving as fast.
- The most ideal funding for most of the innovators is grant funding

- In progress: We are reaching out to various partners to see if they can finance the cohort as a whole
- Proposal: RISA
 creates an allocation
 to provide seed capital
 to the current and the
 second cohort of R2C







LESSON - 4

 It will take an innovator longer than six months to achieve the desired commercialization / funding success.

DETAIL

- With the current cohort we are having to start from discussions from the IP status, whether a licensing agreement has been discussed with the institution etc
- For those ready some discussions are underway but moving slowly
- Some of the innovation need more work to get to MVP status

- In progress: Ramped up market outreach and investor discussions
- Proposal: We propose that R2C is run as a multi year program







LESSON - 5

 The innovators need more technical support to get them ready to meet investors

DETAIL

- While coaching has added a lot of value the innovators require more support to go to market this includes
 - IP registration for those who have not
 - Financial model build to support their funding needs
 - Pitch development to ensure their decks match up to investor expectations
 - Additional coaching hours in certain areas eg design thinking

WHAT HAVE WE DONE / PROPOSE?

 Proposal: We are requesting for an extension budget to support these initiatives







LESSON - 6

 TOT participants need more help to roll out R2C programs in their institutions

DETAIL

- We have received several request to be part of the R2C interventions the ToTs are planning in their institutions
- Some of the ToTs need more help with content delivery for R2C

- Proposal: Budget allocation to be able to attend some of the events
- Proposal: Create an eLearning platform to support ToTs







LESSON - 7

 To ensure success of the ToTs institutional strengthening is critical

DETAIL

- Does a commercialization pathway exist (startup policy)?
- Is there institutional buy in
- What additional support is there for the innovator?

- In progress:

 Collaborating with KENIA
 on the institutional
 strengthening program
- Proposal: Capacity building for institutional leaders
- Proposal: Development of tools to support / help the institutional to accelerate their R2C journeys







LESSON - 8

 R2C curriculum had some gaps

DETAIL

- We had to do a lot of customization to ensure that the developed curriculum in phase 1 was fit for purpose
- Made edits and even developed additional content

WHAT HAVE WE DONE / PROPOSE TO DO?

In progress:

 Additional content
 development and
 customization







LESSON - 9

Program success cannot be evaluated in 6 – 12 months

DETAIL

 With the exiting R2C ecosystem state, level of institution preparedness for R2C, innovator starting points the program success will be seen in the medium to long term but not in the short term

- Proposal: Make program multi year intervention
- Proposal: Create additional budget for MEAL







LESSON - 10

 There is a lot of demand for R2C programs

DETAIL

- Webinars have consistently registered an average of 50 participants
- Requests from additional institutions for R2C support – NRF, Universities
- Requests to expand to Ethiopia, Ghana etc

WHAT HAVE WE DONE / PROPOSE TO DO?

 Proposal: Create an eLearning platform to support in scaling of R2C program





WHAT NEXT: SUGGESTED INTERVENTION ACTION PLAN







PROPOSED SHORT TERM INTERVENTION PLAN (DURING CURRENT PROGRAM)

1. Seed funding for innovators

- 2. Additional technical support for innovators
- Business forecast development
- Pitch deck design
- IP registration
- Additional coaching

- 2. Additional support for ToTs:
- In delivery of R2C interventions
- Development of templates that they can use to support their institutions

3. Develop eLearning platform

4. Stakeholder Engagement– High level policy









PROPOSED MEDIUM TERM INTERVENTION PLAN

Develop a toolkit for institutional strengthening

Monitoring and evaluation beyond the current program timelines









REACTIONS AND Q& A

